UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/982,243	10/16/2001	Ashish Prakash	67272-8048.US02	8578	
77042 Perkins Coie LI	7590 10/08/200 LP	8	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1208			CHOUDHURY, AZIZUL Q		
Seattle, WA 98	111-1208		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2445		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/982,243	PRAKASH ET AI	L.			
interview Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	AZIZUL CHOUDHURY	2445				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>AZIZUL CHOUDHURY</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>JUDY KADOURA</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 22 September 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	t)∏ applicant's representative	.]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes If Yes, brief description: <u>Agenda</u> .	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Abraham (5983270A) and Hyder (5,983,274).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative explained her interpretation of the claims and explained why she believed the prior arts were not pertinent. The examiner then explained his interpretation of the claims and explained why he believed the prior arts were pertinent. No agreements were reached. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has altready been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Azizul Choudhury/ Examiner, Art Unit 2445						